





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/050,821 | 01/18/2002 | Arronn Lee Pesnell | | 6929 |
| 7 | 590 01/17/2003 | | | |
| Arronn Lee Pesnell | | | EXAMINER | |
| 3022 Franciscan Drive, #1138 Arlington, TX 76015 | | | RAGONESE, ANDREA M | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3740 | ···· |

DATE MAILED: 01/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/050,821 | 01/18/2002 | Arronn Lee Pesnell | | 6929 |
| 7 | 590 10/30/2002 | | | |
| Arronn Lee Pesnell | | | EXAMINER | |
| 3022 Franciscan Drive, #1138 Arlington, TX 76015 | | | RAGONESE, ANDREA M | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3749 | - 1 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/39/2007 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application No. Applicant(s) 10/050,821 PESNELL, ARRONN LEE Examiner Art Unit | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | | | | | |
| Ciffice Action Summary Examiner Art Unit | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Andrea M. Ragonese 3749 | | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 January 2002 | | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| . 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 了) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 January 2002</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application | n). | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include any reference numerals. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Art Unit: 3749

- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the use of legal terminology such as "comprising." Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the lack of reference numerals corresponding to key elements of the invention and depicted in the drawings. Appropriate correction is required.
- 6. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 7. The following title is suggested: "Heated Air Dryer for Sports Equipment."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

9. Claim 1 is rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure, which goes to make up the device, must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 11. Claim 1, as rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 above, is further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), as best understood by the Examiner, as being clearly anticipated by Roberts et al. (US 4,055,002). Roberts et al. teaches a portable football dryer comprising:
 - a large drum 46 capable of holding cold and wet athletic balls 48;
 - a heating element 174;
 - a blower fan 130;
 - an air filter 152;
 - a battery 176;
 - wherein the large drum 46, the heating element 174, the blower fan 130, the air filter 152 and the battery 176 are housed within lightweight container 22;
 and
 - wherein as the balls 48 travel around drum 46, balls 48 are heated and dried.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Beadle, Jr. (US 3,686,774), Graffius (US 3,747,226), Beauregard (US 4,026,310), Starkey et al. (US 4,137,040), Renteria (US 4,140,893),

Page 4

Art Unit: 3749

Dawson (US 5,666,743) and Stuckey (US 2001/0037583 A1) all disclose hot air drying methods and apparatuses in which articles to be dried are inserted into a container to complete the drying process.

- 13. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.
- 14. Applicant is advised of the availability of the publication "Attorneys and Agents Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office." This publication is for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Andrea M. Ragonese** whose telephone number is **(703) 306-4055**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am until 5:30 pm.
- 16. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira S. Lazarus can be reached on (703) 308-1935. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3749

17. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

amr

October 24, 2002

Supervisory Patent Examine

Group 3700

Page 6